Mutations in the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain link Parkinson's disease to Wnt signalling pathways
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Abstract

Mutations in \textit{PARK8}, encoding LRRK2 are the most common known cause of Parkinson's disease. The LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain exhibits GTPase activity controlling LRRK2 kinase activity via an intramolecular process. We report the interaction of LRRK2 with the dishevelled family of phosphoproteins (DVL1-3), key regulators of Wnt (\textit{Wingless/Int}) signalling pathways important for axon guidance, synapse formation and neuronal maintenance. Interestingly, DVLs can interact with and mediate activation of small GTPases with structural similarity to the LRRK2 Roc domain.

The LRRK2 Roc-COR domain and the DVL1 DEP domain were necessary and sufficient for LRRK2-DVL1 interaction. Co-expression of DVL1 increased LRRK2 steady-state protein levels, an effect that was dependent on the DEP domain. Strikingly, LRRK2-DVL1-3 interactions were disrupted by the familial \textit{PARK8} mutation Y1699C, whilst pathogenic mutations at residues R1441 and R1728 strengthened LRRK2-DVL1 interactions. Co-expression of DVL1 with LRRK2 in mammalian cells resulted in redistribution of LRRK2 to typical cytoplasmic DVL1 aggregates in HEK293 and SH-SY5Y cells, and co-localisation in neurites and growth cones of differentiated dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cells.

This is the first report of modulation of a key LRRK2-accessory protein interaction by \textit{PARK8} Roc-COR domain mutations segregating with Parkinson's disease. Since the DVL1 DEP domain is known to be involved in the regulation of small GTPases, we propose that: i) DVLs may influence LRRK2 GTPase activity and ii) Roc-COR domain mutations modulating LRRK2-DVL interactions indirectly influence kinase activity. Our findings also link LRRK2 to Wnt signalling pathways, suggesting novel pathogenic mechanisms and new targets for genetic analysis in Parkinson’s disease.
Introduction

The *PARK8* locus encodes LRRK2, a 2527 amino acid cytosolic protein kinase. Mutations in *PARK8* are the most common known cause of Parkinson's disease, with missense mutations found in patients with familial as well as apparently idiopathic Parkinson's disease [1-5]. LRRK2 belongs to the ROCO family of proteins which are characterised by the unique combination of a Roc (Ras in complex proteins) domain with intrinsic GTPase activity and a COR (C-terminal of Roc) domain. The Roc-COR tandem domain controls LRRK2 kinase activity via an intramolecular process [6-12]. The modification of LRRK2 GTPase and kinase activity by *PARK8* mutations affecting residues in the Roc, COR and kinase domains is believed to lead to neuronal cell death, but the pathways involved remain elusive [1,2,7,8,11-16]. The combination of GTPase activity mediated via the Roc-COR tandem domain and kinase activity of the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK) domain suggests a complex role for LRRK2 in cell signalling. Additional protein-protein interaction domains, such as LRR (Leucine rich repeat) and WD40 propeller motifs provide additional complexity and could potentially localise LRRK2 to different subcellular compartments. The Roc domain shares sequence similarity with all five subfamilies of the Ras-related superfamily of small GTPases (Ras, Rho, Rab, Sar/Arf and Ran), has conserved amino acids involved in GTP binding/hydrolysis and exhibits intrinsic GTPase activity. Evidence suggests that the COR domain forms dimers, resulting in juxtaposition of the associated Roc domains [10]. Since the isolated LRRK2 kinase domain was shown to be catalytically inactive [16, 17] it is clear that the Roc and COR domains are vital for kinase activity and/or protein stabilisation. One current theory suggests that mutations in the Roc and COR domains reduce GTPase activity, leading to higher kinase activity. This suggestion is based on reports that the R1441C/R1441G substitutions in the Roc domain reduce GTPase activity [9,10,14,15], whilst
these mutations as well as the Y1699C mutation in the COR domain increase kinase activity [7,12].

Thus, the LRRK2 Roc domain is likely to serve as a molecular switch, regulating kinase activity by cycling between GDP-bound and GTP bound states [6-17]. However, it is currently unclear how this activity is controlled in vivo. Normally, guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) facilitate GTP binding and effector activation, whilst GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) increase the intrinsic rate of GTP hydrolysis to terminate signalling [18]. Interestingly, the GTPase activity of a Roc-COR tandem domain from C. tepidum, a prokaryotic homologue of LRRK2, shows a low affinity for nucleotide and fast GDP dissociation, suggesting that LRRK2 may not require a classical RhoGEF for GTPase activity [10]. Rather, Roc GTPase activity was proposed to be stimulated solely by COR dimerisation. However, this model seems simplistic, as it would not allow for up or down regulation of GTPase and kinase activity. Thus, the identification of proteins involved in regulating LRRK2 GTPase activity and mediating downstream signalling is of fundamental importance in understanding the pathogenesis of Parkinson’s disease. Since the Roc-COR tandem domain controls LRRK2 kinase activity, we searched for interactors of the Roc-COR domain with likely relevance for GTPase and kinase activity. Here we describe the discovery and characterization of the interaction of LRRK2 with all members of the dishevelled (DVL) family of phosphoproteins in yeast and mammalian cell systems. DVLs have a modular architecture consisting of DIX (Dishevelled/Axin), PDZ (PSD-95, DLG, ZO1) and DEP (Dishevelled, EGL-10, Pleckstrin) domains. Importantly, DVL proteins are key regulators of Wnt signalling pathways leading to multiple downstream effects [19], including the activation of small GTPases such as Rac1 that are structurally similar to the LRRK2 Roc domain [20]. Recent studies have underlined the importance of DVLs in key processes in
neuronal development, such as axon guidance, synapse formation and neuronal maintenance [19,21-27]. The identification of DVL proteins as interactors of LRRK2 suggests a plausible physiological role for LRRK2 in these processes. DVL proteins altered the subcellular distribution of LRRK2 and co-localised in neurites and growth cones of differentiated dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cells. The LRRK2-DVL1 interaction stabilises steady-state levels of LRRK2, but importantly LRRK2-DVL interactions are decreased or increased by selected PARK8 Roc-COR domain mutations segregating with Parkinson's disease.

Further functional characterization of LRRK2-DVL interactions is currently hindered by technical difficulties encountered in purifying intact DVL proteins, reproducibility and sensitivity of LRRK2 GTPase and kinase assays, and the lack of commercially available antibodies against LRRK2 and DVL1-3 proteins that function in immunoprecipitation experiments. Nonetheless, the dissemination of our results to a wider audience at this stage will highlight valuable leads for further research into the endogenous control of LRRK2 GTPase and kinase activity. We also suggest the relevance of Wnt signalling pathways to Parkinson's disease, underpin the importance of microtubule dynamics in neurodegeneration [28-34] and identify DVL proteins as therapeutic and genetic targets for future therapeutic and genetic research.

Results

LRRK2 associates with DVL family proteins via a Roc-COR – DEP domain interaction

In order to identify LRRK2 accessory proteins potentially regulating kinase activity we screened an embryonic human brain cDNA library (Clontech) using the LexA yeast two-hybrid (YTH) system and the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain (Roc-COR) as 'bait'. This resulted in the identification of several overlapping partial cDNAs encoding DVL2 and
DVL3, members of the dishevelled family of phosphoproteins (Figure 1A), which contain single DIX, PDZ and DEP domains. None of the encoded proteins harboured an intact DIX domain, suggesting that this motif was not necessary for LRRK2 binding. Q-PCRs confirmed that DVL1-DVL3 transcripts are detectable in adult human brain, including the substantia nigra (Figure S1). DVLs were considered promising candidates for further analysis since they are known to interact with and mediate activation of small GTPases, such as Rac1 and RhoA. Interestingly, the DVL1/DVL2 DEP domain alone is sufficient for Rac1 activation, whereas both PDZ and DEP domains are required for RhoA activation [20,35]. Further analysis demonstrated that LRRK2 interacts with full-length DVL1-3 proteins in yeast (Figure 1A) and HEK293 cells, as demonstrated by co-immunoprecipitation of myc-tagged LRRK2 and FLAG-tagged DVL constructs (Figure 1B). It is also noteworthy that the DVLs appear to differ in the nature of their interaction with LRRK2. Although the Roc-COR bait demonstrated an interaction with all three full-length DVL proteins (DVL1-3; Figure 1A) the interaction between the LRRK2 Roc-COR domain and DVL1 was consistently weaker compared to DVL2 or DVL3 (Figure 1A). However, deletion constructs lacking the N-terminal DVL1 DIX domain (DVL1ΔDIX) showed a robust interaction with the Roc-COR bait, equivalent to DVL2 or DVL3 (Figure 1A). Expressing selected subdomains or deletions of DVL1 in yeast (Figure 2A) or HEK293 cells (Figure 2B) demonstrated that removal of the DIX and/or PDZ domains did not abolish DVL interactions with the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain, whereas constructs lacking the DEP domain no longer associated with LRRK2 (Figure 2A,B). Hence, DVL1 is capable of interacting with the LRRK2 Roc-COR region in yeast and mammalian cells via the DEP domain, which can interact with and mediate activation of small GTPases such as Rac1 [20].
DVL co-expression alters the subcellular distribution of LRRK2

Transfection of C-terminally TAP-tagged LRRK2 in HEK293 cells results in cytoplasmic distribution of the protein (Figure 3B) and perinuclear aggregates in 5-10% of the transfected cells similar to that previously reported for myc- or EGFP-tagged LRRK2 [13]. By contrast, expression of FLAG-tagged DVL1 results in cytoplasmic round or doughnut-shaped protein aggregates (Figure 3C). Interestingly, the co-expression of TAP-LRRK2 and FLAG-DVL1 or FLAG-DVL3 results in a redistribution of LRRK2 to DVL aggregates and changes in cell morphology (Figure 3D-I), a result that was not observed using the TAP epitope tag alone (Figure 3J-L). A similar redistribution of myc-LRRK2 to FLAG-DVL1 aggregates was also observed in non-differentiated dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cells (Figure 3O-Q). As expected, this redistribution relies on LRRK2 Roc-COR - dishevelled interactions, since the full-length myc-tagged LRRK2 (Figure 4A-C) as well as the myc-tagged Roc-COR tandem domain (Figure 4D-F) both redistributed to FLAG-DVL1 aggregates. The unusual distribution of DVL proteins relies on the N-terminal DIX domain, since deletion of this region resulted in the loss of cytoplasmic DVL1 aggregates and redistribution of FLAG-DVL1ΔDIX and myc-LRRK2 throughout the cytoplasm with some enrichment at the cell membrane (Figure 4G-I). However, experiments in yeast suggested that neither the Roc nor COR domains alone interacted with DVL1 and DVL2 (Figure 4J), suggesting that an intact tandem domain is required for LRRK2-DVL interactions. We confirmed this by co-immunoprecipitation experiments showing that FLAG-tagged DVL1-3 were able to co-precipitate the myc-tagged LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain from co-transfected HEK293 cells (Figure 4K).
**DVL1 stabilises LRRK2 protein expression**

In order to assess the functional effects of DVL1 on LRRK2 activity, we attempted both LRRK2 kinase assays [13] and GTPase [14] assays, although these have been unsuccessful to date. As might have been predicted, given the involvement of DVLs in diverse Wnt signalling pathways [19-27], immunoprecipitation of FLAG-DVLs alone from transfected HEK293 cells resulted in GTPase and kinase activity that is likely to be unrelated to LRRK2 (data not shown). Experiments with recombinant LRRK2 and DVL proteins will be required to resolve this issue. However, we were able to show clear effects of FLAG-DVL1 on the stability of the myc-tagged Roc-COR domain in cell lysates from co-transfected cells (Figure 5A), with quantification showing that co-expression of FLAG-DVL1 increased levels of myc-Roc-COR by approximately 4-fold (Figure 5C). This effect was lost upon co-expression of the myc-Roc-COR protein with FLAG-DVL1ΔDEP, implying that the DEP domain is crucial for mediating this effect (Figure 5B,D).

**PARK8 mutations segregating with Parkinson's disease alter LRRK2 - DVL interactions**

Numerous PARK8 mutations affect residues in the Roc-COR tandem domain (Figure 6), but the pathogenic mechanism underlying these changes is as yet unclear. Most mutations are assumed to alter the folding or intrinsic GTPase activity of the Roc-COR tandem domain, so in turn influencing LRRK2 kinase activity [9-16]. For this reason, we decided to assess whether selected familial PD mutations (I1371V, R1441C, R1441G, R1441H, R1514Q, Y1699C, R1728H, R1728L or M1869T) in the Roc-COR domain (Figure 6A) have any influence on LRRK2 interactions with DVL1, DVL2 or DVL3. Surprisingly, the COR domain mutation Y1699C weakened the interaction of LRRK2 with DVL1, 2 and 3 as well as DVL1ΔDIX (Figure 6B-F). From freeze-fracture filters (Figure 6B), this effect is most evident for DVL1, although quantitative YTH assays revealed that Y1699C also influences
DVL2 and DVL3 interactions (Figure 6E,F). By contrast, all known substitutions at residues R1441 and R1728 appear to strengthen the LRRK2-DVL1 interaction (Figure 6B,C). Curiously, these mutations do not influence Roc-COR bait interactions with DVL1ΔDIX, DVL2 or DVL3 preys (Figure 6B,D-F), i.e. the observed effect is specific for full-length DVL1. Other substitutions including I1371V, R1514Q and M1869Q did not alter the interaction between the LRRK2 Roc-COR domain and any of the DVL1 or DVL3 baits. However, the R1514Q substitution caused a small but significant reduction of the Roc-COR interaction with DVL2.

**DVL1 and LRRK2 co-localise in neurites and growth cones of differentiated SH-SY5Y cells**

Since DVLs were previously shown to stabilize microtubules in nocodazole-treated differentiated neurones [22], we examined whether: i) a similar effect could be observed upon transfection of DVL1 into differentiated SH-SY5Y cells and ii) if co-expression of LRRK2 enhances or opposes DVL-induced microtubule stabilisation. The formation of a stable microtubule network was assessed by staining for acetylated tubulin [22]. As predicted, differentiating SH-SY5Y cells expressing FLAG-DVL1 showed an abundance of stable microtubules and neurite projections (Figure 7A-C) that were resistant to nocodazole treatment (Figure 7D-F). By contrast, SH-SY5Y cells expressing myc-tagged full-length LRRK2 contained acetylated tubulin (Figure 7G-I), but this was not resistant to nocodazole treatment (Figure 7J-L). Nocodazole-resistant acetylated tubulin was also detected in cells co-expressing FLAG-DVL1 and myc-LRRK2 (Figure 7M-T). Due to the low co-transfection efficiency in these experiments, we could not assess whether LRRK2-DVL1 co-expression affected the length or number of neuronal processes. However, DVL1 still appeared to be able to stabilise microtubules against nocodazole treatment in the presence of LRRK2. After
differentiation with retinoic acid treatment, myc-LRRK2 is observed in the cytoplasm and neuronal processes (visualised by labelling for tubulin) and appears to be enriched in growth cones as shown by double-labelling with antibodies directed against GAP43 (Figure 8A-F), a neuronal growth cone protein thought to be involved in pathfinding. Co-transfection of myc-LRRK2 and FLAG-DVL1 resulted in colocalisation of both proteins in puncta within neurites (Figure 8G-J) and growth cones (Figure 8K-R).

Discussion

The aim of our study was to characterise potential modulators of LRRK2 GTPase and kinase activity by identifying interactors of the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain. Using the yeast two-hybrid system, we found that the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain interacts with dishevelled family proteins (DVL1, DVL2, DVL3). We found that DVL1 interacts with LRRK2 via the DEP domain, which is found in various signalling proteins such as guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) and Roco family proteins [6]. DEP domains have also been shown to interact with and mediate activation of small GTPases such as Rac1 and RhoA, which share structural similarity with the LRRK2 Roc domain [20,35]. Quantitative PCRs confirmed that transcripts for DVL1-3 are expressed in brain and detectable in substantia nigra. Co-immunoprecipitation of epitope tagged constructs confirmed that LRRK2 associates with DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3 in mammalian cells and that DVL proteins increase the steady-state level of the LRRK2 Roc-COR domain. While the exact mechanism responsible for this observation remains to be determined, it is tempting to speculate that DVLs stabilise the Roc-COR dimer, which according to current models [10] would enhance LRRK2 GTPase activity. DVLs may also hinder the binding of the E3 ubiquitin ligase CHIP [36,37] which interacts with the Roc domain and decreases LRRK2 levels via ubiquitination and proteasome-dependent degradation.
Interestingly, DVL proteins show a distinct subcellular localization when expressed in mammalian cells, forming doughnut-shaped cytoplasmic aggregates that are thought to represent DVL polymers that are able to mediate Wnt signalling [38]. This unique expression pattern appears to be mediated by the DVL DIX domain, which is necessary for DVL polymerisation. Co-expression of LRRK2 and DVLs resulted in a re-distribution of LRRK2 to DVL aggregates and changes in cell morphology, supporting a direct interaction of LRRK2 with DVL polymers. Co-localisation of LRRK2 and DVL1 aggregates was also observed in neurites and growth cones of differentiated dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cells. These findings support the idea of an important interplay between LRRK2 and DVLs in the development and maintenance of neurites via Wnt signalling pathways. In humans, nineteen spatially and temporally differentially expressed Wnt ligands signal through their Frizzled (FZ) receptors and their co-receptors in the cell membrane leading to hyperphosphorylation of DVLs and the subsequent downstream activation of one of three Wnt signalling pathways [19]. These include the canonical Wnt pathway, the non-canonical or planar cell polarity cascade and the Wnt/Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pathway. These different pathways control cell fate and tissue polarity and affect several neuronal processes such as CNS development, axon guidance, neurite outgrowth/branching and synapse formation [19-27]. DVL proteins are also involved in the differentiation of dopaminergic cells in the ventral midbrain, the release and recycling of dopaminergic vesicles at presynaptic sites [24-27] and have been linked to neurodegeneration [39-41]. A potential link between LRRK2 and Wnt signalling was also suggested by a recent LRRK2 RNAi study [42], which revealed that LRRK2 knockdown resulted in up-regulation of several genes involved in the canonical Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway, including DVL2.
A key finding of this study is the modulation of a LRRK2-accessory protein interaction of significance for LRRK2 GTPase and kinase activity by PARK8 Roc-COR domain mutations segregating with PD. For example, the substitution Y1699C in the COR domain, resulting from a key familial PARK8 mutation [5], disrupts LRRK2 interactions with DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3. This could result from direct disruption of the DVL1 binding site, or alternatively Y1699C might alter the conformation of the Roc-COR dimer, making the structure less accessible to DVL1-3. Little is known about the influence of the Y1699C mutation on LRRK2 GTPase or kinase activity [7,10,12,13,16], but individuals with the Y1699C mutation show unique clinical aspects including a 'prominent behavioural disorder' with unexpectedly high prevalence of anxiety and depression that can pre-date the onset of Parkinson's disease symptoms [5]. The Y1699C mutation also seems fully penetrant, which is not the case for other pathogenic mutations in LRRK2 such as G2019S, suggesting a more severe genetic defect for Y1699C [1-5]. By contrast, the LRRK2 Roc-COR interaction with DVL1 is strengthened by pathogenic mutations causing R1441C/G/H changes and the R1728H/L substitutions. Although the R1728H/L substitutions have not been proven to be pathogenic, the likelihood that two different substitutions occur at exactly the same amino acid position is low, unless these are disease-causing. Our study supports a potential pathogenic mechanism for R1728H/L [4]. Interestingly, the effects of the R1441C/G/H and R1728H/L substitutions were not observed for DVL1ΔDIX, DVL2 and DVL3. These results imply that DVL1 multimerisation has a subtle effect on DVL1-LRRK2 interactions, and that DVL1 appears to interact differently with LRRK2 compared to DVL2 and DVL3. Intriguingly, substitutions that do not show clear segregation with Parkinson's disease (e.g. R1514Q and M1869T [3,4]) did not show clear-cut effects on interactions with DVL1 or DVL3, although a slight reduction in DVL2-LRRK2 interactions was observed for the R1514Q mutant. In summary, all investigated pathogenic mutations (Y1699C, R1441C/G/H and R1728H/L) in the Roc-
COR tandem domain have a specific influence on the interaction of LRRK2 with DVL1, but only the Y1699C substitution alters interactions with DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3. If DVL proteins enhance LRRK2 GTPase activity, these results imply that Y1699C would reduce intrinsic GTPase activity medicated by DVL1-DVL3, while R1441C/G/H and R1728H/L would stabilise LRRK2-DVL1 interactions. The effect of such a stable complex on GTPase and kinase activity remains uncertain, as this could either reduce or enhance LRRK2 activity. Since dishevelled proteins are known to co-precipitate with small GTPases such as Rac1 and RhoA [20,35], studies with recombinant LRRK2 and DVL proteins will be required to provide clear evidence of an influence of DVLs on LRRK2 GTPase and kinase activity.

Lastly, this study also suggests that the dishevelled genes (DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3) represent excellent candidates for genetic screening in individuals with familial and idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Interestingly, DVL1 knockout mice are viable, fertile and demonstrate no gross physical abnormalities. However, they show an impaired social behaviour phenotype [43], with lower huddling behaviour, nest building, social dominance and whisker trimming. These behaviours could be interpreted as reflecting increased anxiety in social contexts, and whether this phenotype mirrors the 'prominent behavioural disorder' seen in patients with the LRRK2 Y1699C mutation remains to be seen. By contrast, both DVL2 and DVL3 knockout mice exhibit cardiovascular outflow tract defects, although DVL2 knockouts also exhibit vertebral and rib malformations and neural tube closure defects [44,45]. Although DVL1 has been assessed as a candidate gene in Alzheimer's disease [40], as yet, no human disease has been associated with human DVL1, DVL2 or DVL3. Given our results, we suggest that it would be timely to re-examine this gene family in unresolved cases of familial or idiopathic Parkinson's disease. In particular, we note that the DVL1 gene maps to chromosome 1p36 [http://genome.ucsc.edu/], a region of the human genome enriched in
Parkinson's disease genes, including PARK6 (PINK1), PARK7 (DJ-1) and PARK9 (ATP13A2). In addition, several studies have implicated DVL proteins in dopaminergic synapse formation and transmitter release [21, 24-27]. Over-expression of Parkinson's disease-associated LRRK2 mutations in neuronal cultures induces a progressive reduction in neurite length and branching, especially for axons, the longest neuronal processes [28, 29]. Similar effects are observed when DVL1 is down-regulated via RNAi, which results in abrogation of axon differentiation [23]. Lastly, DVL1 is one of a total of 24 genes that have been found to display a significantly higher rate of protein evolution in primates than in rodents, implying a specialised function in human brain [46].

In summary, we propose that dishevelled proteins are key LRRK2 interactors, since they have the potential to influence LRRK2 GTPase and kinase activity. Since mutations in the Roc-COR tandem domain segregating with Parkinson's disease either weaken or strengthen LRRK2-DVL1 interactions, this implies that the correct level of LRRK2 activity is key to the health of dopaminergic neurones. We also suggest that DVL proteins and other components of Wnt signalling pathways may represent novel therapeutic targets in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease, whilst the DVL1 gene on chromosome 1p36 should be considered a high priority candidate for genetic screening. Our data also links LRRK2 to Wnt signalling pathways that control the development and maintenance of neuronal processes, suggesting novel potential mechanisms in the pathogenesis of PD.
Materials and Methods

Cloning of LRRK2/ DVL expression constructs and site-directed mutagenesis

LRRK2 and DVL cDNAs were amplified from human whole-brain first-strand cDNA (Clontech) and cloned into the yeast two-hybrid bait vector pDS-BAIT (pDS; Dualsystems Biotech), the prey vector pACT2 (Clontech) or the mammalian expression vectors pRK5myc or pRK5FLAG. This results in an in-frame fusion of the LexA-BD, GAL4-AD, myc or FLAG epitope tags to the N-termini of LRRK2 or DVL proteins, respectively. Mutations were made in different constructs using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Amplifications were performed using Pfx DNA polymerase and all plasmids were sequenced to confirm the introduction of the desired mutations.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

The yeast strain L40 (Invitrogen) was co-transformed with various pDS-LRRK2 and pACT2-DVL constructs. Transformations were plated on selective dropout media lacking either leucine, tryptophan and histidine supplemented with 0.5 mM 3-AT (for suppression of 'leaky' histidine expression) for nutritional selection, or leucine and tryptophan for transformation controls. After incubation at 30°C for 3-6 days to allow prototrophic colonies to emerge, LacZ reporter gene assays were performed as previously described [47]. Quantitative YTH assays were performed by resuspending cell pellets in Z-buffer containing 40mM β-mercaptoethanol followed by lysis in 0.1% (w/v) SDS and 0.1% (v/v) chloroform [48]. All protein interactions were assayed in 3-4 independent experiments in triplicate. After addition of CPRG (chloropheno-red-β-D-galactopyranoside) the colour change was recorded at 540 nm and readings adjusted for turbidity of the yeast suspension at 620 nm. The background signal (bait + empty pACT2 vector) was subtracted from each reading and values were normalised to the
wild-type Roc-COR response, which was set at 100%. Statistical significance was determined using a Student's t-test (two-tailed). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean.

**Cell culture and immunocytochemistry**

HEK293 cells (ATCC CRL1573) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin G and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in 95% air-5% CO₂ [49]. Exponentially growing cells were transfected with constructs encoding epitope-tagged DVL and LRRK2 using Lipofectamine LTX reagent (Invitrogen). After 24 hrs cells were fixed in 4% (w/v) PFA and stained with antibodies recognising myc (Sigma) and FLAG (Sigma) and secondary anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488, Alexa 546 or Cy5 fluorochromes (Molecular Probes).

**Co-Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting**

HEK293 cells were transfected as above and harvested 48 hrs post-transfection, lysed in a solution containing 50 mM NaCl/complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and homogenized using a tissue grinder. Following centrifugation (4°C, 40 min, 100,000 g) cell lysates were recovered and the concentration of NaCl was increased to 150 mM. 1 ml of cell lysate containing approximately 700 μg of protein was added to 40 μl of anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma) and incubated overnight at 4°C on a turning disk in order to purify the FLAG-tagged proteins. The affinity gel was subjected to centrifugation (4°C, 100 g, 3 min) followed by two washes in 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 0.1% Triton X-100, two washes in 150 mM NaCl, PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 and two washes in PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100. The FLAG fusion proteins were eluted with 150 ng of 3 × FLAG peptide (Sigma) for 30 min at RT. The eluates were analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Approximately 10 μg of protein
was loaded into 4-12% (w/v) BisTris pre-cast gels (Invitrogen). Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore) and non-specific bands blocked with 5% (w/v) skimmed milk in PBS plus 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 or with 20% (v/v) horse serum in PBS. Anti-myc antibody (Sigma) was used at 1:2000 and anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma) was used at 1:3000 at 4°C overnight. For detection, a HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Santa Cruz) was used at a final dilution of 1:2000 together with the SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce).

**Culture and immunocytochemistry of SH-SY5Y cells**

SH-SY5Y cells were cultured in DMEM:F12 (1:1 Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin. SH-SY5Y cells were differentiated by treatment with 10 μM retinoic acid and subsequent incubation for 4-7 days in Neurobasal medium supplemented with 2 mM of L-glutamine, 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin and B27 supplement (Gibco). SH-SY5Y cells were transiently transfected using Neuromag (Oz Biosciences) or FuGene 6 (Roche). After 48hrs cells were fixed in methanol and stained with antibodies recognising GAP-43 (mAb347; Chemicon), NeuN (mAb377; Chemicon), beta tubulin (NB600-1470; Novus Biologicals) or acetylated tubulin (6-11B-1; Sigma). In order to destabilize microtubules cells were treated with 5 μM nocodazole (Sigma) for 30 min prior to fixation and immunocytochemistry.

**Confocal microscopy and image analysis**

Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM 510 META. All images were taken with a 63× objective. Fluorescence exited by the 488 nm, 543 nm and 633 nm laser lines of Argon and Helium/Neon lasers was detected separately using only one laser at the time (multitrack function) and a combination of band pass filters (BP 505-530, BP 560-615), long
pass (LP 560) filters and meta function (649-798) dependent on the combination of fluorochromes used.

**Q-PCR experiments**

A relative quantification (RQ) study of LRRK2/DVL gene expression in substantia nigra using the comparative method (ΔΔCT), commercially available TaqMan primer sets and an ABI 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). Total RNA was converted into first-strand cDNA using a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). Cycling conditions were 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds followed by 60°C for 1 minute. Data was analysed using the SDS 7500 system software (v1.3.1, Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) before being exported into Microsoft Excel. Experiments were repeated four times in triplicate using GAPDH and PPIA as endogenous controls for normalizing gene expression.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. The LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain interacts with dishevelled proteins DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3. A: Full-length or partial DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3 preys were tested for interactions with the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain bait in the YTH system using LacZ freeze-fracture assays. All negative controls show yeast growth but no blue colouration in the LacZ assay, demonstrating that the co-expression of bait and prey plasmids with empty prey or bait vectors does not result in transcription of reporter genes, i.e. no autoactivation was observed. Note that deletion of the DVL1 DIX domain (DVL1ΔDIX) increases the strength of the Roc-COR - DVL1 interaction. B: Co-immunoprecipitation of LRRK2 and DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3 in HEK293 cells co-transfected with full-length myc-tagged LRRK2 and full-length FLAG-tagged DVL1, DVL2 or DVL3 constructs. Note that myc-LRRK2 is present in the cell lysates (CL) and FLAG-DVL1, FLAG-DVL2 and FLAG-DVL3 immunoprecipitation (IP) samples purified using FLAG beads, but not in IP samples from cells co-transfected with the empty FLAG vector.

Figure 2. The LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain interacts with the DVL1 DEP domain. A: DVL1 deletion constructs lacking key domains (ΔDIX, ΔPDZ or ΔDEP), or encoding individual DIX, PDZ or DEP domains were tested for interactions with the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain bait using the YTH system. LacZ freeze-fracture assays demonstrate that only constructs expressing the DVL1 DEP domain are able to interact with the Roc-COR bait, whereas the DIX and PDZ domains were dispensable. B: Confirmation of the LRRK2 binding site on DVL1 by co-immunoprecipitation in HEK293 cells co-transfected with constructs encoding the myc-tagged LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain and FLAG-tagged DVL1 variants. Note that the myc-tagged LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain is present in the
cell lysates (CL) and FLAG-DVL1, FLAG-DVL1ΔDIX, FLAG-DVL1 PDZ immunoprecipitation (IP) samples purified using FLAG beads, but not in IP samples from cells co-transfected with FLAG-DVL1ΔDEP.

**Figure 3. Redistribution of LRRK2 to cytoplasmic aggregates containing DVL proteins and changes in cell morphology.** Confocal microscopy showing the distributions of (A) TAP-epitope, (B) TAP-tagged full-length LRRK2 and (C) FLAG-tagged full-length DVL1. Note that the TAP epitope has a predominantly nuclear and cytoplasmic distribution, while TAP-LRRK2 is distributed evenly in the cytoplasm including cell processes. By contrast, FLAG-DVL1 is expressed in the cytoplasm forming round structures that represent DVL protein polymers [36]. TAP-LRRK2 re-distributes to FLAG-DVL1 (D-F) and FLAG-DVL3 (G-I) aggregates upon co-transfection and cells show unique changes in morphology, spreading and flattening out to cover a larger surface area. By contrast, the TAP epitope tag does not co-distribute with FLAG-DVL1 aggregates (J-L) and changes in cell morphology are not observed. Similar results were obtained with myc-LRRK2, LRRK2-EGFP and LRRK2-V5-His (data not shown), suggesting that the redistribution of LRRK2 to DVL aggregates is independent on the nature of the epitope tag. A similar distribution of myc-LRRK2 (M), FLAG-DVL1 (N), and redistribution of myc-LRRK2 to FLAG-DVL1 aggregates (O-Q) is seen in non-differentiated dopaminergic SH-SY5Y cells, demonstrating that this effect also occurs in different cell lines. Scale bars: 10 μm.

**Figure 4. The cytoplasmic distribution of DVL-LRRK2 complexes is dependent on the DVL1 DIX domain and the intact LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain.** Confocal microscopy showing the co-distribution of FLAG-tagged full-length DVL1 with myc-tagged full-length LRRK2 in cytoplasmic DVL protein polymers (A-C). Since a myc-tagged Roc-
COR construct shows similar targeting to cytoplasmic FLAG-DVL1 aggregates, the Roc-COR tandem domain is sufficient for this interaction (D-F). Deleting the DIX domain by site directed mutagenesis (DVL1ΔDIX) resulted in the loss of cytoplasmic DVL1 aggregates and redistribution of FLAG-DVL1ΔDIX and myc-LRRK2 throughout the cytoplasm, but with apparent enrichment at the cell membrane (G-I). Scale bars: 10 μm. J: LacZ freeze-fracture assays demonstrate that the intact Roc-COR tandem domain, but not individual Roc or COR domains bind DVL1 and DVL2 effectively. K: Co-immunoprecipitation of the myc-tagged LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain by FLAG-tagged DVLs confirms that the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain is sufficient for DVL binding. Note that the myc-tagged LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain is present in the cell lysates (CL) and enriched in FLAG-bead purified immunoprecipitation (IP) samples from co-transfections with FLAG-DVL1, FLAG-DVL2 and FLAG-DVL3, but not in control experiments using empty FLAG vector.

Figure 5. Co-expression of DVL1 stabilises the expression of the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain.

A: Co-expression of the myc-tagged Roc-COR tandem domain with FLAG-DVL1 results in a stabilisation of the Roc-COR domain in cell lysates. B: The stabilisation of LRRK2 is dependent on the DVL1 DEP domain interaction with the Roc-COR tandem domain, since DVL1 lacking the interacting DEP domain (FLAG-DVL1ΔDEP) was not capable of stabilising myc-Roc-COR. C,D: Quantification of these effects after normalisation to levels of cellular actin shows that FLAG-DVL1 increases myc-Roc-COR approximately four-fold compared to controls with an empty FLAG vector or FLAG-DVL1ΔDEP. Statistical significance was determined using a Student's t-test (two-tailed). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. *** p<0.001.
Figure 6. Modulation of the interaction between the LRRK2 Roc-COR tandem domain and dishevelled proteins (DVL1-3) by familial Parkinson's disease mutations. A: Locations of amino acids affected by familial PD mutations in the Roc-COR tandem domain. B: LacZ freeze-fracture assays of LRRK2-DVL interactions. Using this semi-quantitative assay, the most striking effect observed is that the Y1699C mutation in the COR domain clearly disrupts the LRRK2-DVL1 interaction. All negative controls show yeast growth but no blue colour in the LacZ assay, demonstrating that the co-expression of bait and prey plasmids with empty prey or empty bait vectors does not result in transcription of reporter genes, i.e. no autoactivation was observed. C-F: Quantitative liquid YTH assays using CPRG (Chlorophenol-red-β-D-galactopyranoside) as substrate for β-galactosidase expression reveal that substitutions at R1441 and R1728 show a strengthened interaction between the Roc-COR domain bait and DVL1 prey, whilst Y1699C disrupted interactions between the Roc-COR domain bait and DVL1, DVL2 and DVL3 preys. Statistical significance was determined using a Student's t-test (two-tailed). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. *** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05.

Figure 7. DVL1 but not LRRK2 stabilizes microtubules treated with nocodazole. A-L: Confocal microscopy showing stable microtubules stained for acetylated tubulin in SH-SY5Y cells five days after treatment with retinoic acid transfected with FLAG-DVL1 or myc-LRRK2. Note that in cells transfected with FLAG-DVL1 stable microtubules were observed both before (A-C) and after (D-F) nocodazole treatment. However, while acetylated tubulin was observed in cells expressing myc-LRRK2 before nocodazole treatment (G-I), LRRK2 alone was unable to protect microtubules from nocodazole treatment (J-L). Interestingly, cells co-transfected with FLAG-DVL1 and myc-LRRK2 showed stable microtubules both before (M-P) and after (Q-T) nocodazole treatment. Scale bars: 10 μm.
Figure 8. Co-localization of LRRK2 and DVL1 in the cytoplasm, neurites and growth cones in differentiated dopaminergic cells. A-F: Confocal microscopy showing expression of myc-tagged LRRK2 in SH-SY5Y cells five days after treatment with retinoic acid. Note that LRRK2 co-localises with tubulin staining in neurites (A-C) and GAP43 immunostaining in growth cones (D-F). Co-expression of FLAG-tagged DVL1 with myc-tagged LRRK2 in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells shows co-localisation of both proteins in multiple cytoplasmic aggregates (G-J). Panel J shows a magnification of the process shown in panels G-I. Co-localisation of LRRK2 and DVL1 in an extended neuronal terminal, suggestive of a growth cone (K-N) and in growth cones co-stained for GAP43 (O-R). Scale bars: 10 μm.
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